

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Pitent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.juspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/621,085 07/15/2003		07/15/2003	Justin Mortensen	LSI.74US01 (03-0840)	9264
24319	7590	09/12/2006		EXAMINER	
LSI LOGI			COLAN, GIOVANNA B		
1621 BARBER LANE MS: D-106				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
MILPITAS, CA 95035			2162		
				DATE MAILED: 09/12/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)		
10/621,085	MORTENSEN ET AL.			
Examiner	Art Unit			
Giovanna Colan	2162			

	Giovanna Colan	2162	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 05 September 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THI	S APPLICATION IN CONDITION F	OR ALLOWANCE.	
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	ving replies: (1) an amendment, aff tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in (	idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THI	g date of the final reject	on.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origor than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropr inally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed</li> </ol>	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	ns of the date of e appeal. Since
AMENDMENTS			
<ol> <li>The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,</li> <li>They raise new issues that would require further co</li> <li>They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below)</li> </ol>	nsideration and/or search (see NO w);	TE below);	
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or	ter form for appeal by materially re	ducing or simplifying	the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally rei	ected claims.	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).			
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1.	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		•	` ,
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>	·	•	
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is protected. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-11. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		II be entered and an e	explanation of
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>	t before or on the date of filing a N d sufficient reasons why the affidat	otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> vit or other evidence is	ot be entered s necessary and
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome all rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fai	Is to provide a
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attaci	ned.
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER  11. ☑ The request for reconsideration has been considered bu See Continuation Sheet.	t does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowa	nce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).  13. Other:		JOHN BREEF URBRVISORY PATENT TECHNOLOGY CENT	Examiner ER 2100
•			

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: -Applicant argues that the prior art fails to disclose; "a user that can manage CAD data in a plurality of disparate and diverse databases or share files across disparate databases without modification of the content". Examiner discussed this in office action dated on 05/26/2006, response to argument 2, page 11. The newly added limitation including "without modification of the content" is also disclosed by the prior art (Col. 7, lines 9 - 17, Ananian). Wherein the step of translating corresponds to the step of converting without modification of the content claimed. According to the Academic Press Dictionary of Science and Technology from Elsevier Science & Technology, "translate" means: "1. To convert from one computer language to another. 2. Generally, to convert information from one form to another without altering meaning or function."

- Applicant argues that the office action fails; "to make a proper prima facie case of obviousness as is required under 35 U.S.C. 103(a)". Examiner discussed this in office action dated on 05/26/2006, response to argument 3, page 12...